

THE LOCAL NEWS RECORD

THREE INTERVIEWS ON INDIANS.

Messrs. Booth, Gibbs and Brown on Making Greer County an Apache Reservation—Miscellaneous Local Matters

An Interesting Interview.

Hon. J. W. Booth, of Decatur, for a number of years chief clerk of the Texas House of Representatives, who is well posted in Texas affairs and whose opinion is entitled to consideration, being in the city as one of the accredited representatives of Decatur to confer with the Dallas & Northwestern Railroad, was called upon by a Herald reporter at the Grand Windsor. In answer to the question as to what was thought of the cattle blockade in the Territory, he replied that he regarded the action as a great outrage on the people of Texas. He does not believe that the people occupying the country known as "No Man's Land" apprehend the least danger from Texas fever; that for 20 years the cattle drive from Texas to the Northwest has been extensive and that the objection hitherto has been of the faintest character; that the very men who are now loudest in their denunciation of the cattle blockade were in former years extensive drovers and have amassed immense fortunes by driving Texas cattle to Kansas and other Northern markets. He believes the whole thing is a scheme to raise the price of Texas cattle in order that "a ring" may profit thereby, and he is sorry to hear it intimated that men engaged in the scheme who claim to be Texans are in fact from the State of Texas.

Colonel Booth was then asked as to what he thought of the removal of disorderly Indians from Arizona to Greer County, Texas, and he replied that he thought of that kind would be as great an outrage on the people of Texas as the cattle blockade. He apprehends that the government will eventually not only remove the Indians from Arizona, but also from Greer County. He is to some extent in dispute, it was by Congress tacitly admitted as belonging to Texas in the "Federal Compact" and in creating the Northern District of Texas and in the controversy is definitely settled, the government will not undertake to quarter a band of hostile Indians there.

What do I think of the coming gubernatorial race? Well, sir, I think Texas needs a clear-headed business man for Governor, one coming up fully to the stature of General Darnell, with ample backbone. Those mentioned are all good men. Wise County will be heard from in ample time on the subject, and will "whop up" the man selected as her champion. It is the people believe all the capital board acted honestly in their votes on the question of substituting Indiana for Texas stone in building the capitol. The people are a unit on one thing, and that is, they will manifest their appreciation, throwing into the lap of Dallas the untold wealth of the finest section of North Texas. The agricultural resources of that section are almost incalculable, and demand additional transportation facilities, and our people will second the efforts of yours to obtain for an open-handed liberality. They feel a keen and greater interest in the success of the contemplated enterprise than in the number of Democrats the prohibitionist will catch in the next campaign.

Yes, our people will probably vote on local option in September. It will likely prevail in Wise County. Many heretofore conservative people will vote to discontinue saloons, and that they believe it will prohibit, but it will break up the lolling places where so many young men habitually gather for pleasure and recreation. Many people have come to feel that the traffic is transferred from legalized saloons to dark corners and drug stores, the change will be beneficial. The effort to break up the traffic is a party by uniting all the disinterested elements on a prohibition platform, will of course end in a failure.

The Apaches in Greer County. A dispatch from Washington in yesterday morning's Herald, speaking of the unruly Apaches, a part of which reads as follows: "The subject of much comment on the street and caused considerable dissatisfaction here, as it will throughout the State. It reads: 'It was suggested that the Apaches might be transferred to No Man's Land, west of the Indian Territory, or to Greer County, Texas, a tract claimed by both Texas and the Indian Territory, in the event it should be found to belong to Texas. The suggestion was accepted by the gentlemen and they will make strong efforts to get the President's consent.'

A Herald reporter had a brief interview with Lieutenant Governor Gibbs on the subject. He was engaged in legal matters, and he said he was always down to express his opinion concerning the matter. He said that such a step would prove a great damage to settlers in Greer County, and that it would be in fact a part of the Federal Government, as both the State and Federal Governments have agreed upon a commission settling the matter. He stated further that if the Apaches were placed there the Federal Government would have to keep a standing force there to protect them.

A reporter of THE HERALD tried an interview on Mayor John Henry Brown yesterday afternoon in reference to the matter. He replied as follows: "I have not seen the dispatch you mention and was not aware of it, but it is too preposterous for belief. This is a strong expression, but the attending facts justify it. The reason is, because Texas has had no jurisdiction of the Territory, exercising jurisdiction over it for many years. Greer County, by its charter, was created in 1856, while Greer County was Governor, and entirely familiar with the controlling facts affecting our boundary and our rights to that territory. On that subject he personally, he considered our title beyond doubt. In creating the Northern Judicial District of Texas a few years since the Congress of the United States included Greer in the same as a county of the State of Texas."

"In the Congress of 1854 a bill was passed providing for the settlement of the boundary line in dispute. Our Legislature responded by passing a law to meet the proposition. These acts are a willing action by the President and Congress in appointing the respective commissions."

ers, and Texas being in occupancy, I repeat that it is not to be presumed, and without precedent, pending such negotiations, for the Secretary of the Interior or the President to take any such step, and that referred to. The question of ultimate title is not involved in this view of the case. That a tribunal yet to be organized. But as to the matter, every legal mind familiar with the stipulations of the treaty of 1850, so far as I have known, holds the claim of Texas to be indisputable. These stipulations, at the request of Governor Troup, were given to the public by me through THE HERALD 10 years ago, and were verified by John M. Swisher, Governor of Texas, and Governor Fessenden two years ago. They are too long to restate in this hurried and unexpected interview."

The Funeral Obsequies of General Darnell.

General Nicholas H. Darnell was buried by Dallas Commandery No. 6 of Knights Templar, and Tamm Hall Lodge No. 52, A. F. and A. M., at the Masonic Cemetery, in this city, at 3 o'clock p. m. yesterday, with the impressive ceremonies of these orders. General Darnell was born in Williams County, Tennessee, on the 20th of April, 1807. He was a member of the Tennessee Legislature in 1837, elected from Williams County. He came to Texas in 1839 and settled in the Augustinian County. He was a member of the Congress of the Republic of Texas in 1841-42, was elected speaker in 1841. In 1845 he was elected speaker of the house in the Legislature of 1845, with J. Pinckney Henderson as colleague. He was elected to the Legislature from Dallas County in 1859 and 1861, and was elected speaker of the house in 1861. He was elected from Dallas, Tarrant and Ellis Counties to the Constitutional Convention in 1875. He represented Dallas County in the Legislature in 1876. He was elected speaker of the House of Representatives of Texas in 1878. From the facts above given it will be seen that General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

General Darnell was a man of many prominent positions in this State, and in every one of them he acquitted himself with honor and fidelity. He was a man of a high sense of honor and duty, and his untimely death, as shown by the following incident: The Congress of the State in 1880 declared, after counting the votes cast for lieutenant-governor, that Darnell was elected, and he was to him to be at the Senate Chamber next morning to take the oath of office and assume its duties. During the night he returned from a distant and small county, and he was reported to change the result in favor of his opponent, A. C. Horton. Next morning he declined to accept the office, although he was justly entitled to it, as the vote had not reached the seat of government in time to be legally counted. He not only refused to accept the office, but he insisted that his opponent should be inaugurated, which was done. He received his title of general by having been elected general of militia in the State of Tennessee, and he was a member of the Tennessee militia. He was the author of the homestead law in the constitution adopted in 1845, and the first case appealed to the Supreme Court under that law was the case of the homestead of General Darnell. It was a case situated in what is now the center of the city of Dallas. The case is reported in the Twenty-fifth Texas Supplement.

Guiz, Colorado & Santa Fe Railway adds 300 acres to Jeff Wood, Jr., 36x180 feet on north side Ross avenue, east of Houston & Texas Central Railroad, for \$300.

Joe C. Hancock to John H. Cole, 40 acres in the McKinney & Williams survey, on Bear Creek, for \$325.

R. B. Seay to D. A. Cline, 50x100 feet, the east corner of North Harwood and Moody streets, for \$300.

W. R. McEntire and wife to William Bryant, the J. J. Blair, N. Mathison and E. H. Paxton surveys, on Natashum Creek, in all 700 acres, for \$420.

J. C. O'Connor to George W. Spencer, 50x150 feet on north side of East Ross avenue, in block No. 1 of Hall's addition, for \$340.

J. W. Allen and wife to Mrs. Frankie Fanning, lots 1, 2 and 3 in block 1 of Allen's addition, for \$125.

W. C. Fanning and wife to Mrs. Mollie Allen, lot 3 in block 233, for \$1,400.

J. B. Wright and wife to W. S. Coffman, 30 acres on Fire Mills Creek, in the Hamilton Meadow survey, for \$125.

J. W. Allen and wife to J. C. White, lot 3, block 2, of Allen's addition, for \$320.

Thomas Field and wife to George C. Manary, lots 2, 3, 4 and 5 in block C, of Thomas Field's subdivision, of the Ross homestead, for \$300.

G. W. McCormick and wife to L. H. Hirsch, lot 11, block A, of G. W. Hirsch's addition, for \$250.

John Edwards to J. M. Snow, 111 acres in the John P. Anderson lease, for \$75.75.

Argo A. Sessions and wife to Elbert Sessions, lot 6, in block 4, of Browder's addition, north side of Oak street, for \$250.

George C. Manary and wife to Thomas Field, lot 3, in block 2, of Field's addition, north side of Oak street, for \$250.

Lucinda Pison to J. T. Pison, of 100 acres on White Rock Creek, for \$1,200.

A grand concert will be given Monday night by the young ladies of St. Matthew's Cathedral, assisted by Meissner's Military Band, at Mr. Flippin's residence, Ross avenue, Monday evening, July 13, at 8 o'clock; concert commencing at 8:30 o'clock.

The following is the programme: PART I.—1—"March"—The Drummer—Douglas; 2—"The Olden Times"—Douglas; 3—"Shadows of Gold"—Walden; 4—"Festive"—Recollections of the Opera—Borah; 5—"Come Medley"—Harris—Douglas; 6—"The Merry Widow"—Kingdon; 7—"Medley"—When the Robins Sing—PART II.—8—"British Patrol"—Douglas; 9—"Good Night"—Paul; Admission 50 cents; children 25 cents.

Good Thing for Dallas. It is conceded by all knowing ones that G. W. Hirsch's headquarters for his Texas road will be located in this city. Our people should feel very grateful to the king of railroads for preference shown this, the metropolis of North Texas. Further information and the latest telegraph can be had at J. H. Webster's art gallery, 70 Elm street.

Dallas Academy. Mrs. Dickinson, so long the principal of the Dallas Academy, has joined with her in her work Mrs. L. M. Dake, who for the past few years has been principal of the Temple Emanuel School. The patrons of the school in the past, as well as those who are looking for a school of high order, will be pleased to learn of this combination. Both these ladies have made splendid reputations as teachers, and we shall expect a bright future for the Dallas Academy. The ladies who have this institution in charge will give special attention, among other departments, to the teaching of kindergarten, and parents can rely upon their children being well cared for if they are sent here.

Every first-class dealer sells Opera Pull cigarettes. Avoid injurious imitations.

Wedding Anniversary. There was a select gathering at the residence of Mr. and Mrs. S. Pollock, on Ross avenue, on Friday evening last, to celebrate the 23rd anniversary of their married life. There were present Misses Hannah Pollock, Pauline Pollock, Dora Cobb, Helen Epstein, Fanny Suss, Jennie Wasserman, Bolla Holland and Messrs. Henry Pollock, Joe Bloch, H. Elms, A. E. Cohen, L. S. Herzog, Louis Goodman, H. S. Mitchell and Ben Ironson. A grand repast was spread and champagne flowed freely. The invited guests will not soon forget this anniversary.

Cayenne Pepper comes from the neighborhood of Cayenne, in British Guiana. It is a stimulant without being in any respect a strengthener. Some medicines have a good deal of Cayenne pepper in them in connection with other articles, and the folks who take them think they are receiving benefit, while the medicine really being only stimulant. The effect of the stimulus is soon over, and then the patient feels worse than before. Cayenne pepper, however, is a stimulant without being in any respect a strengthener. Some medicines have a good deal of Cayenne pepper in them in connection with other articles, and the folks who take them think they are receiving benefit, while the medicine really being only stimulant. The effect of the stimulus is soon over, and then the patient feels worse than before.

The most popular cigarettes at the popular price are Opera Pulls.

Real Estate Transfers. In the city and county, recorded during the past week, and reported by Murphy & Boland, real estate and collecting agents, office No. 70 Main street.

W. M. McCallister and wife to J. F. Pison, 50 acres in the Lagon league on the Texas Central Railroad, for \$300.

W. C. Howard to J. W. Saunders, 70x200 feet on the west side of Ervey street, south of Mill Creek, for \$500.

J. J. Baker and wife to W. C. Howard, lots 5 and 7 in block G of Kankin's

addition, for \$1,000.

W. M. McCallister and wife to J. F. Pison, 50 acres in the Lagon league on the Texas Central Railroad, for \$300.

W. C. Howard to J. W. Saunders, 70x200 feet on the west side of Ervey street, south of Mill Creek, for \$500.

J. J. Baker and wife to W. C. Howard, lots 5 and 7 in block G of Kankin's

addition, for \$1,000.

W. M. McCallister and wife to J. F. Pison, 50 acres in the Lagon league on the Texas Central Railroad, for \$300.

W. C. Howard to J. W. Saunders, 70x200 feet on the west side of Ervey street, south of Mill Creek, for \$500.

J. J. Baker and wife to W. C. Howard, lots 5 and 7 in block G of Kankin's

</